



2030 - 2050 Dispatchable Power Requirement

Stephen R Clark¹, Johannes L van Niekerk², Jim Petrie³

¹ Solar Thermal Energy Research Group (STERG), Dept. Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Stellenbosch University, South Africa; +27 (0)21 808 4016;

²Solar Thermal Energy Research Group (STERG), Dept. Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

³ School of Chemical and Bio-molecular Engineering, University of Sydney.





— Integrated Resource Plan – (IRP)

- The first IRP was developed in 2010, covering the period up to 2030.
- The IRP has been updated several times , but not published since the 2010 IRP.
- Latest update was 2018, with an additional report released in 2019 with some changes due to Eskom difficulties.
- The updated plans covered the period up to 2050. However, the 2018 report recognized the uncertainty that this period brings and declared that the later years were "indicative".
- The IRP developed "scenarios" for the Grid requirements based on fixed parameters.



- IRP Renewable Generation Plan

- The IRP supports a significant growth in renewable supply from wind and PV
- This growth is supported by dispatchable power to handle intermittency and replacing aging base generation
- In 2018 Wind and PV varied from meeting 0.1% to 11% of the hourly generation – showing the need for dispatchable backup



| IRP 2018 Base Scenario Renewable Plan | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Resource - GW | 2018 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Wind | 2 | 13 | 27 | 50 |
| PV | 1.5 | 7 | 18 | 35 |
| Min Supply | 0.1% | 0.7% | 1.2% | 1.9% |
| Max Supply | 11% | 51% | 101% | 161% |
| Dispatchable | 5 | 10 | 25 | 40 |

-Dispatchable need

- The purpose of this analysis is to verify the premises of the IRP to determine whether the predicted dispatchable power need is reasonable and the likely range.
- The analysis is a sensitivity to understand the effects of the major premises for the forecast.
- The analysis also looks at the impact of increased, or decreased, generation from wind and PV.
- The sensitivity analysis doesn't include any economic sensitivity nor recommendation on technology – it only relates to feasibility of meeting the need.



Sensitivity Analysis 2030 - 2050





-Premises for analysis

- The base information for demand and renewable supply is from Eskom for 2017 (verified for consistency with 2016 and 2018 data).
 - Forecast demand profile is as per 2017, increased by the growth factor analysed.
 - Wind and PV hourly CF's from 2017 were used and adjusted by installed capacity
- Base Generation capacity was taken from the IRP assumptions.
 - Base generation was assumed to be one unit of generation, not broken down
 - No attempt was made to cycle any of the base load (multiple daily cycling would be required). It was assumed that base generation was used or wasted.
- Existing CSP and pumped hydro storage were used as per 2017 data without change of hourly timing or capacities. (the IRP makes no provision for growth)



— Factors affecting dispatchable requirement

- Demand Growth
- Base Fleet EAF
- Decommissioning
- Wind
- PV



-2030 sensitivities









-2040 sensitivities

UNIVERSITEIT IYUNIVESITHI

STELLENBOSCH

1018-2018

UNIVERSITY







-2050 sensitivities





 $\langle O \rangle$





2030 dispatchable requirement forecast







-Base forecast for "May 2030"





Demand growth







— IRP demand growth forecast







UNIVERSITEIT IYUNIVESITHI

TELLENBOSCH

UNIVERSITY

 $\langle \rangle$

-Rate of demand growth since 1991







 $\langle \rangle$

– GDP growth effect









— Growth forecast

- IRP Growth Forecast 1.8%
- GDP 1% to 4%, average 2.3%
- Ratio Electricity Growth in the range of 50% of GDP
- Likely range 0.5% to 2.5%
- Expected approx. 1.2%







Effect of growth on dispatchable need





Capacity factor (EAF)







– EAF plan

- Internationally, an EAF for the Base Facilities is expected to be about 85%
- The Eskom plants have operated at or below 70%.
- The IRP indicated expectations that this would increase to over 80%
- A low EAF effectively removes a portion of the generating fleet.





<









Decommissioning







-Decommissioning plan

- The average age of the Eskom coal generation fleet is 37 years.
- Eskom anticipates a 50-year life per plant and most plants shutdown in planning period.
- Cycling causes pre-mature aging which is not captured in plan.
- Major change is short term activity, which should be the most defined
- Leads to doubt about long term plan.





Effect of 4 GW extra decommissioning





Wind / PV







-Effect of 20 GW additional wind





 $\langle \rangle$

-effect of 20 GW additional PV







 $\langle \rangle$

-Resulting uncertainty



Recommendations

- Change orientation of IRP from a "definitive" plan to a response plan to react to the developing situation going forward
- Structure the business to facilitate shorter term and flexible planning eliminate large scale base generation in favour of modular renewable generation, storage and dispatchable backup
- Take advantage of improved technology and costs as they develop
- Monitor changes to conditions to allow the plan to adjust as needed



Thank You for Your Attention

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors appreciate the support from STERG / CRSES and colleagues from the Mechanical Engineering Department

CONTACT DETAILS:

Stephen Clark Solar Thermal Energy Research Group (STERG) Stellenbosch University South Africa

STERG@sun.ac.za +27 (0)21 808 4016

visit us: concentrating.sun.ac.za