



Optical characterization of radial-staggered heliostat fields: Model validation with SolarPILOT

A.S. Pidaparthi and J.E. Hoffmann

^aSolar Thermal Energy Research Group (STERG), University of Stellenbosch







- 1. Introduction
- 2. Optical characterization of power towers plants
- 3. Modeling with SolarPILOT
- 4. Plant Location and Atmospheric conditions
- 5. Heliostat field layout and optimization
- 6. Performance simulation results
- 7. Conclusions and outlook



1. Introduction

Heliostats in a power tower plant

Heliostats must be placed in such a way that there is minimum optical and mechanical interference from one another.

Several variables must be optimized:

- Heliostat position in the field
- Outer land boundary
- Receiver type and dimensions
- Height of the tower







Source: https://sam.nrel.gov/

1. Introduction

Power tower plant design

Location: Upington, South Africa

Plant net capacity: 100 MW_{e}

Thermal Energy Storage (TES): 8 hours

Solar Multiple (SM): 1.8

Heliostat field layout: Radial Staggered pattern







2. Optical characterization of power towers plants

Calculation of the reflected beam image in the target plane



Deviation due to:

- Sunshape error
- Tracking errors
- Slope errors
- Canting errors
- Structural deflection errors
- Temperature dependent
 errors





60

2. Optical characterization of power towers plants _____

Two methods

Statistical approach (Monte-Carlo Ray-Tracing Analytical approach (Convolution method) (MCRT))







Source: Pitz-paal, Robert Heliostat field design for solar thermochemical processes

 $I(x, y) = \frac{e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2}}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{ij}}{i! \cdot i!} \cdot H_i(x) \cdot H_j(y)$



 $\langle \rangle$

2. Optical characterization of power towers plants

Statistical approach (MCRT)

Randomly chosen bundle of rays from one surface to another, with the surface irradiance proportional to the number of rays causing impact.

Replicates real photon interactions providing accurate results.

Longer processing time.



Source: Wendelin, Tim. SolTRACE: A New Optical Modeling Tool for Concentrating Solar Optics







2. Optical characterization of power – towers plants

Analytical approach (Convolution method)

Reflected image of a heliostat can be described by a circular normal distribution (Gaussian).

Only a few limited shapes of the reflected

 $F(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}}$





single mirror, incident angle 37.6° (left: HFLCAL, right: ray tracing)

Source: Wendelin, Tim. SolTRACE: A New Optical Modeling Tool for Concentrating Solar Optics



Lower computation time.

image can be modelled.



2. Optical characterization of power towers plants

Examples of codes using these methods

Statistical approach (MCRT)

Analytical approach (Convolution method)

- SolTrace
- MIRVAL
- HELIOS
- STRAL
- Tonatiuh

- UHC -RCELL Suite
- DELSOL3*

*truncated Hermite function expansion to describe the shape of the reflected image in two dimensions.

HFLCAL

A new approach to integrate both MCRT and the analytical methods where heliostat layouts can be generated quickly while using ray-tracing techniques is highly desirable.





3. Modeling with SolarPILOT

Solar Power tower Integrated Layout and Optimization Tool (SolarPILOT)

Integrates analytical and MCRT approach.

Generate and optimize heliostat field layouts.

Conducting a parametric study with different heliostat templates.

A user friendly graphical user interface (GUI).



Source: Wagner and Wendelin, SolarPILOT: A Tool for Solar Power Tower Layout and Optimization







3. Modeling with SolarPILOT

SolarPILOT model description - Background

Hermite function is applied to individual heliostats.

Different from the conventional approach of DELSOL3.

DELSOL3 calculates the performance at a set of field points.

Assumption: Each field point represents the average performance in a surrounding zone of heliostats.







Source: B. Kistler, A user's manual for DELSOL3: A computer code for calculating the optical performance and optimal system design for solar thermal central receiver plants via

visit sterg.sun.ac.za contact sterg@sun.ac.za



3. Modeling with SolarPILOT

SolarPILOT model description - Modification

New method - dynamic heliostat grouping to calculate the computationally expensive interception efficiency.

Approximating the annual yield of a power tower using a subset of time steps over a year (instead of an annual simulation).

Land areas can be specified to be included or excluded from the layout



Source: Wagner and Wendelin, SolarPILOT: A Tool for Solar Power Tower Layout and Optimization





4. Plant location and Atmospheric conditions

Location, Sunshape, Insolation and Atmospheric attenuation

Latitude (°N) : -28.433

Sunshape: Limb darkened Sun - Assumption

Insolation model: Weather data

Atmospheric attenuation model: DELSOL3 Clear day Visibility 5 km - <u>Assumption</u>



Limb: Limbus in Latin- meaning edge

Source: Brocken Inaglory - Own work, CC BY 2.5, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=19842075







4. Plant location and atmospheric conditions

| System Design: | Variable | Value/Description | Variable | Value/ Description |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Heliostat total surface area (m ²) | 115.56 | Design point DNI (W/m ²) | 950 |
| | Total surface slope error (mrad) | 2.6 | Layout method (-) | Radial Staggered |
| | Total tracking/pointing error (mrad) | 0.63 | Radial spacing method (-) | Blocking eliminated |
| | Receiver type (-) | External | Number of days simulated (-) | 12 |
| | Receiver thermal power (MWt) | 502 | Simulation hour frequency (-) | 1 |
| | Allowable peak flux (kW/m ²) | 1100 | Sun location at design point (-) | Spring equinox |
| | Receiver absorber area (m ²) | 869.84 | Heliostat cost per Unit area (\$/m²) | 177 |
| Visit sterg.sun.ac.za | | | | |



UNIVERSITY

 $\langle \rangle$

5. Heliostat field layout and optimization

Response Surface Optimization algorithm calculates the Solar field design power:





 $\langle \rangle$

RSGS optimization algorithm Initial step size: 0.02 Maximum iterations: 200 Convergence tolerance: 0.001

Objective: Lowest LCOE values

Different values for the height of the tower, the receiver dimensions and heliostat positions around the receiver explored.





$\langle O \rangle$ 5. Heliostat field layout and optimization Sun position: Solar Noon, Spring Equinox 900 800-87.1% 700-600-500-400-300-200-100-0-7965 heliostats -100-115.56 m² heliostat -200 927 113 m² reflective area -300 64.9% -400 212.9 m receiver optical height -500 -600 -700 -800 -900 -1000--1100--1200 46.1% -1300 -1400 -1800-1600-1400-1200-1000 -800 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 -600 600 -400 -200 200 400 Field position (East+) [m] STERG

Field position (North+) [m]

6. Performance simulation and optimization

Results – Model and SolarPILOT







 $\langle \rangle$

7. Conclusion and Outlook

Importance of Optical characterization

- Important role in Heliostat cost reduction
- Optical performance with three heliostats
- Heliostat cost-scaling, optical performance and annual O&M costs
- LCOE model, not \$/ m²







Thank you !

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

STERG Helio 100 CRSES

CONTACT DETAILS:

A.S. Pidaparthi Solar Thermal Energy Research Group (STERG) Stellenbosch University South Africa

STERG@sun.ac.za +27 (0)21 808 4024